NIET Journal of Management (NIETJOM)
Volume 14, Issue 2 Winter / Summer 2023 ISSN: 0975-7643 (Print)

Blockchain Adoption in Supply Chain Transparency and Trust Building

Dr. Pramod Pathak[1], Saurav Kumar, Santosh, Sapna Kumari, Satish Kumar
(Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology (MCA Institute), Greater Noida, India)

Abstract*:* *Blockchain technology has emerged as one of the most transformative innovations in supply chain management, promising unprecedented levels of transparency, traceability, and trust among trading partners. This paper provides a comprehensive examination of blockchain adoption in supply chains, exploring how this distributed ledger technology is reshaping relationships between suppliers, manufacturers, logistics providers, regulators, and consumers. Drawing on an extensive review of peer-reviewed literature, industry case studies, and real-world implementation experiences, the study examines the theoretical underpinnings of blockchain-enabled trust, the practical mechanisms through which blockchain enhances supply chain transparency, and the significant challenges organizations face when deploying the technology at scale. Key themes include smart contracts, tokenization, interoperability, governance models, and the intersection of blockchain with other emerging technologies such as the Internet of Things and artificial intelligence. The paper also investigates the human and organizational dimensions of blockchain adoption, including change management, stakeholder alignment, and the cultural shifts required for successful implementation. Through detailed case analyses spanning the food, pharmaceutical, fashion, and logistics industries, the study illustrates both the tangible benefits and the stubborn implementation barriers that characterize real-world blockchain deployments. The findings contribute to a nuanced understanding of blockchain's transformative potential while offering a grounded assessment of the conditions under which the technology delivers meaningful value in supply chain contexts.*

Keywords - Blockchain technology, supply chain transparency, trust building, distributed ledger, smart contracts, traceability, digital transformation, supply chain management

1. Introduction

There is a quiet but profound crisis of trust running through global supply chains. When a consumer picks up a product — whether a bottle of olive oil, a piece of clothing, or a prescription medication — they are trusting an invisible network of dozens or hundreds of organizations spanning multiple countries and continents. They are trusting that the product is what it claims to be, that it was made in the conditions advertised, and that it has not been tampered with, adulterated, or counterfeited along its journey from producer to shelf. Much of the time, that trust is misplaced.

Food fraud alone costs the global economy an estimated $40 billion annually, with products ranging from honey and olive oil to seafood and spices routinely mislabeled or adulterated (PWC, 2016). The global trade in counterfeit pharmaceuticals — which includes life-saving medications — is estimated to be worth $200 billion per year and contributes to hundreds of thousands of preventable deaths (World Health Organization, 2017). In the fashion industry, claims of sustainable and ethical sourcing are routinely made without any credible mechanism for verification. The fundamental problem is the same across all these cases: global supply chains are long, complex, opaque, and fragmented, and the

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.

mechanisms available for establishing and verifying trust among their many participants are woefully inadequate.

It is against this backdrop that blockchain technology has emerged as one of the most discussed and debated innovations in supply chain management. First conceptualized by Nakamoto (2008) as the technological foundation of the Bitcoin cryptocurrency, blockchain is a distributed ledger that records transactions in a way that is transparent, immutable, and verifiable without requiring trust in any single central authority. These properties — transparency, immutability, and decentralized verification — make blockchain, at least in theory, a powerful tool for addressing the trust deficit that plagues global supply chains.

The interest in blockchain for supply chain applications has grown exponentially since around 2016, when companies such as Walmart, Maersk, and Everledger began experimenting with blockchain-based supply chain tracking solutions. By 2020, the number of blockchain supply chain projects had grown into the hundreds, spanning virtually every major industry. Consulting firms and technology vendors were projecting that blockchain would transform supply chain management within five to ten years. Billions of dollars of investment flowed into blockchain supply chain startups and enterprise projects.

Yet the reality on the ground has proven considerably more complex and ambiguous than the early enthusiasm suggested. Many blockchain supply chain projects have been scaled back, pivoted, or quietly abandoned. The barriers to adoption — technical, organizational, economic, and cultural — have proven more formidable than anticipated. The technology's promise remains real, but the path to realizing that promise is longer and more challenging than initial projections assumed. Understanding where blockchain genuinely adds value in supply chain contexts, and what conditions are necessary for successful adoption, has become one of the most important questions in supply chain management research and practice (Saberi et al., 2019).

This paper seeks to address that question comprehensively. Section 2 examines the conceptual foundations of blockchain technology and its theoretical relationship to supply chain transparency and trust. Section 3 explores the specific mechanisms through which blockchain enhances supply chain visibility and traceability. Section 4 analyses real-world implementation cases across multiple industries. Section 5 examines the barriers and challenges of blockchain adoption. Section 6 investigates the role of smart contracts and tokenization. Section 7 discusses the human and organizational dimensions of implementation. Section 8 explores the convergence of blockchain with other emerging technologies, and Section 9 draws conclusions and identifies implications for practitioners and researchers.

2. Conceptual Foundations: Blockchain Technology and Supply Chain Trust

2.1 Understanding Blockchain Architecture

At its most fundamental level, a blockchain is a distributed database that maintains a continuously growing list of records, called blocks, which are linked and secured using cryptographic hashes. Each block contains a cryptographic hash of the previous block, a timestamp, and transaction data. The distributed nature of the ledger means that no single party controls it — copies of the blockchain are maintained simultaneously on multiple nodes (computers) in the network, and any attempt to alter a historical record would require changing the record on the majority of nodes simultaneously, which is computationally infeasible in most blockchain designs.

This architecture produces several properties that are highly relevant to supply chain applications. First, immutability: once a transaction is recorded on the blockchain, it cannot be altered or deleted without detection, creating a permanent and tamper-evident audit trail. Second, transparency: in public or consortium blockchain networks, all participants can view the transaction history, enabling verification of claims about product provenance and handling. Third, decentralization: because no single party controls the ledger, all participants can trust the record without having to trust each other, eliminating the need for a trusted central intermediary (Nakamoto, 2008; Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016).

It is important to note that blockchain comes in several varieties, each with different implications for supply chain applications. Public blockchains (such as Bitcoin and Ethereum) are open to anyone but are typically slow, expensive to use, and may raise privacy concerns that are incompatible with commercial supply chain requirements. Private blockchains are controlled by a single organization and offer speed

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.

and privacy but sacrifice decentralization. Consortium or permissioned blockchains — controlled by a defined group of organizations — represent a middle ground that has emerged as the most common architecture for supply chain applications, enabling data sharing among trusted partners while maintaining privacy from outsiders (Hyperledger Foundation, 2018).

2.2 The Trust Problem in Supply Chains

Trust is the lubricant that enables complex economic systems to function. In supply chain contexts, trust operates at multiple levels: trust between immediate trading partners, trust in the integrity of information shared across the chain, trust in the quality and authenticity of products, and trust in the social and environmental claims made by producers. Traditional mechanisms for establishing supply chain trust include third-party audits, certifications, and contractual arrangements — all of which suffer from limitations in terms of cost, coverage, timeliness, and susceptibility to fraud (Lumineau et al., 2021).

Third-party audits, for example, are expensive, disruptive, and provide only a point-in-time snapshot of compliance. They are also subject to manipulation: numerous instances have been documented in which factories obtained favorable audit certifications by temporarily improving conditions during the audit period before reverting to previous practices afterward. Certifications similarly rely on periodic verification and are vulnerable to supply chain fraud in which certified and non-certified products are mixed or mislabeled (Gurtu & Johny, 2019).

Blockchain technology addresses these limitations by embedding trust directly into the information infrastructure of the supply chain. Rather than relying on periodic, point-in-time verification by third parties, blockchain enables continuous, real-time recording of transactions and movements in a format that is transparent to authorized participants and resistant to manipulation. The trust is shifted from specific organizations or auditors to the cryptographic and consensus mechanisms of the blockchain protocol itself — a shift that, at least in principle, is more reliable, more scalable, and less expensive than traditional trust mechanisms (Kshetri, 2018).

3. Blockchain Mechanisms for Supply Chain Transparency and Traceability

3.1 End-to-End Traceability

The most fundamental application of blockchain in supply chains is enabling end-to-end traceability — the ability to track a product or component from its point of origin through every stage of its journey to the final consumer. Each time a product changes hands, a transaction is recorded on the blockchain, creating a complete and verifiable chain of custody. Combined with physical tracking technologies such as RFID tags, QR codes, and IoT sensors, blockchain can provide a comprehensive and tamper-resistant record of a product's history.

The practical significance of this capability is enormous. In food supply chains, for example, the ability to rapidly trace a contaminated product back to its source can mean the difference between a targeted recall affecting a small number of batches and a sweeping recall that unnecessarily destroys millions of dollars of safe, edible food. Walmart's much-cited blockchain pilot with IBM, which focused on leafy greens, demonstrated that blockchain could reduce the time required to trace a food item from farm to store shelf from approximately six days to about two seconds — a reduction of more than 99% that has profound implications for food safety management (Kamath, 2018).

In pharmaceuticals, end-to-end traceability is not merely a competitive advantage but a regulatory requirement in many jurisdictions. The U.S. Drug Supply Chain Security Act (DSCSA) requires the establishment of a fully interoperable, electronic traceability system for prescription drugs by 2023. Blockchain has emerged as a leading candidate technology for meeting this requirement, with major pharmaceutical companies and distributors including McKesson, AmerisourceBergen, and Cardinal Health participating in blockchain-based track-and-trace pilots (MediLedger Project, 2020).

3.2 Provenance Verification and Anti-Counterfeiting

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.

Provenance — the documented history of a product's origin and chain of custody — is increasingly important to consumers, regulators, and businesses. Blockchain enables provenance verification that is significantly more robust than existing methods by recording provenance information in an immutable, decentralized ledger that cannot be easily falsified or manipulated.

One of the most compelling early applications of blockchain for provenance verification was Everledger, a company founded in 2015 to track the provenance of diamonds. By recording unique identifying characteristics of individual diamonds on the blockchain, Everledger creates a permanent digital record that can be used to verify that a diamond is conflict-free and has not been stolen. The system has since been extended to other high-value items including luxury wines, watches, and artwork (Kamath, 2018).

In the luxury goods industry, where counterfeiting is endemic and brand reputation depends critically on authenticity, blockchain-based provenance verification has attracted significant interest. LVMH, the luxury conglomerate, partnered with Microsoft and ConsenSys to develop the AURA platform, a consortium blockchain that enables consumers to verify the authenticity and provenance of luxury goods from brands including Louis Vuitton, Christian Dior, and Bulgari. By scanning a product's QR code or NFC tag, consumers can access the complete history of the item, from raw materials to retail, recorded immutably on the blockchain (Bain & Company, 2019).

3.3 Sustainability and Ethical Sourcing Verification

Growing consumer and regulatory pressure on organizations to demonstrate the social and environmental sustainability of their supply chains has created strong demand for credible, verifiable sustainability claims. Blockchain offers a mechanism for recording and verifying sustainability data — including environmental certifications, labor conditions, and carbon footprints — in a way that is transparent and resistant to greenwashing.

The textile and fashion industry, which has faced intense scrutiny over labor practices and environmental impact, has seen significant blockchain experimentation in this area. Companies such as Patagonia and Stella McCartney have explored blockchain-based systems for verifying the authenticity of organic and sustainable fiber certifications. The Textile Exchange's blockchain pilot aimed to track certified organic cotton from farm to finished garment, providing consumers with verifiable evidence of the sustainability claims made on product labels (BCg, 2020).

In the coffee industry, blockchain has been used to create direct connections between consumers and the farmers who grew their coffee. Farmer Connect's "Thank My Farmer" platform, built on IBM's blockchain, allows coffee drinkers to scan a QR code on their cup and trace the coffee back to the specific farm where it was grown, viewing information about the farmer, their practices, and the price they received. This level of radical transparency not only verifies sustainability claims but also creates a powerful emotional connection between consumers and producers that reinforces brand loyalty and justifies premium pricing (IBM, 2020).

4. Industry Case Studies: Blockchain in Action

4.1 Food Safety: Walmart and IBM Food Trust

The collaboration between Walmart and IBM to develop the Food Trust blockchain network represents one of the most comprehensive and impactful applications of blockchain in supply chain management. The initiative was partly motivated by a series of high-profile food safety incidents, including an E. coli outbreak linked to romaine lettuce in 2018 that sickened hundreds of consumers in the United States and Canada. As noted above, the ability to trace contaminated products was dramatically improved through blockchain-enabled traceability.

Following successful pilots, Walmart made participation in the Food Trust network mandatory for all suppliers of fresh leafy greens sold in its U.S. stores — a decision that effectively forced blockchain adoption across a significant portion of the U.S. leafy greens supply chain. The network has since expanded to include other product categories and has attracted additional retail participants. By 2020,

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.

IBM Food Trust had onboarded more than 200 organizations and was tracking more than 25,000 food products (IBM, 2020).

The Walmart case illustrates both the potential and the power dynamics of blockchain adoption in supply chains. Walmart's market power enabled it to mandate adoption by suppliers who might otherwise have been reluctant to invest in the technology. This top-down approach to adoption, while effective at achieving network scale, raises important questions about governance, data ownership, and the equitable distribution of costs and benefits among supply chain participants (Queiroz et al., 2020).

4.2 Shipping and Logistics: TradeLens by Maersk and IBM

TradeLens, the blockchain-based shipping platform developed jointly by shipping giant Maersk and IBM, represented one of the most ambitious attempts to apply blockchain to global trade logistics. The platform aimed to digitize the vast quantities of paper-based documentation that accompany international shipments — including bills of lading, certificates of origin, customs declarations, and letters of credit — and make this information available in real time to all authorized parties along the supply chain.

At its peak, TradeLens connected more than 175 ports, terminals, and inland depots, and had attracted participation from major shipping lines, customs authorities, and freight forwarders across multiple countries. The platform demonstrated real potential to reduce paperwork, decrease transit times, and increase supply chain visibility. However, in December 2022, Maersk and IBM announced that they would discontinue TradeLens, citing the inability to achieve the level of industry-wide participation necessary for the platform to be commercially viable. Competing shipping lines were reluctant to share sensitive trade data on a platform controlled by their largest competitor (Maersk, 2022).

The TradeLens story is instructive not as a failure of blockchain technology per se, but as a demonstration of the critical importance of governance and business model design in blockchain initiatives. The technical capabilities of the platform were widely praised, but the governance model — in which Maersk and IBM controlled the platform while asking competitors to share sensitive data on it — proved to be a fatal flaw. It highlights a lesson that has become central to blockchain supply chain thinking: technology is necessary but not sufficient; governance and trust among participants are equally essential (Lumineau et al., 2021).

4.3 Pharmaceuticals: MediLedger Network

The MediLedger Network is a pharmaceutical industry consortium blockchain project aimed at meeting the requirements of the U.S. Drug Supply Chain Security Act (DSCSA). Unlike the TradeLens model, MediLedger was designed from the outset as a truly decentralized network owned and governed by its pharmaceutical industry participants, rather than by a technology vendor or dominant industry player.

The network uses zero-knowledge proofs — an advanced cryptographic technique that allows participants to verify information without revealing the underlying data — to enable verification of drug authenticity and compliance without requiring participants to share commercially sensitive information about their supply chains. This privacy-preserving architecture addressed one of the key concerns that had led competitors to avoid platforms like TradeLens, and helped MediLedger achieve broad participation from pharmaceutical manufacturers, distributors, and dispensers (MediLedger Project, 2020).

The MediLedger case illustrates several important principles for successful blockchain supply chain adoption. Governance models that give all participants equal standing and protect their data sovereignty are more likely to achieve network scale. Industry-led initiatives, in which the technology serves participant needs rather than vendor interests, are more sustainable than vendor-led initiatives. And sophisticated cryptographic techniques can resolve apparent tensions between transparency and privacy that might otherwise prevent adoption.

5. Barriers and Challenges to Blockchain Adoption

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.

5.1 Technical Challenges

Despite its theoretical appeal, blockchain technology faces significant technical challenges in supply chain applications. The most fundamental is the problem of scalability. Public blockchains like Ethereum can process only a limited number of transactions per second — far fewer than the transaction volumes generated by large-scale supply chain operations. While permissioned blockchains offer better performance, they still face limitations compared to traditional centralized database systems in terms of transaction throughput and latency.

The "oracle problem" represents another significant technical challenge. Blockchain can record and verify digital transactions with high reliability, but it cannot independently verify physical events in the real world. The accuracy of blockchain records depends entirely on the accuracy of the data entered into the system, which in turn depends on the reliability of the physical capture mechanisms (RFID readers, QR code scanners, IoT sensors) and the integrity of the humans who operate them. A fraudulent actor who enters false data at the point of origin can create a perfectly valid blockchain record of a fraudulent event — what critics describe as "garbage in, garbage out on an immutable ledger" (Kshetri, 2018).

Interoperability represents a third major technical challenge. Many organizations have deployed multiple blockchain platforms, often using different protocols and data standards that are difficult or impossible to integrate. The vision of a fully connected, end-to-end blockchain supply chain requires all participants to use compatible systems, but the current landscape is fragmented among multiple competing platforms with limited interoperability. Addressing this fragmentation requires not just technical solutions but also industry-wide standardization efforts that are proving slow and contentious (Saberi et al., 2019).

5.2 Organizational and Cultural Barriers

Beyond technical challenges, blockchain adoption in supply chains faces formidable organizational and cultural barriers. The most fundamental is the multi-party coordination problem. Blockchain derives its value from network effects — the more participants in a blockchain network, the more valuable it becomes to each participant. But achieving the scale needed for network effects to materialize requires coordinating adoption across many independent organizations, each of which must be persuaded that the benefits of joining justify the costs and risks of adoption.

This coordination problem is compounded by competitive concerns. Many supply chain participants are reluctant to share detailed operational information with blockchain networks that include their competitors, fearing that the transparency they provide to verifiers will also be visible to rivals. Even in permissioned blockchain networks with privacy protections, there is often a fundamental tension between the transparency needed for trust-building and the confidentiality needed for competitive protection (Gurtu & Johny, 2019).

Resistance from established intermediaries represents another significant organizational barrier. Many blockchain supply chain applications are designed to reduce or eliminate the role of intermediaries — banks, certification bodies, customs brokers, and others — who currently profit from their position as trusted intermediaries in supply chain transactions. These actors have strong incentives to resist blockchain adoption and may be able to use their regulatory relationships and institutional influence to slow its progress (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016).

5.3 Economic Barriers

The economics of blockchain adoption in supply chains are more complex than they initially appear. While proponents emphasize the long-term cost savings from reduced fraud, faster reconciliation, and lower compliance costs, the immediate costs of adoption — including platform fees, integration with existing systems, data migration, and employee training — are substantial. For small and medium-sized suppliers in particular, these costs can be prohibitive.

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.

The distribution of costs and benefits across supply chain participants is also frequently inequitable. Large buyers and brand-owners typically capture the majority of the reputational and regulatory benefits of blockchain transparency, while the costs of implementation are disproportionately borne by suppliers — who may be smaller, less technologically sophisticated, and operating on thin margins. This imbalance creates adoption barriers that cannot be resolved by technical innovation alone, requiring careful attention to business model design and cost-sharing arrangements (Wamba et al., 2020).

6. Smart Contracts and Tokenization in Supply Chains

6.1 Smart Contracts: Automating Trust

Smart contracts — self-executing computer programs stored on the blockchain that automatically perform specified actions when predefined conditions are met — represent one of the most powerful and transformative applications of blockchain technology in supply chains. By encoding contractual obligations directly into computer code that executes automatically and transparently, smart contracts can eliminate many of the delays, disputes, and manual interventions that characterize traditional supply chain contract management.

In trade finance, smart contracts can dramatically accelerate and simplify the letter of credit process, which currently involves multiple banks, extensive paper documentation, and settlement times of days or weeks. By automatically releasing payment when shipping documents are verified on the blockchain — confirming that goods have been shipped as specified — smart contracts can reduce settlement times to hours and eliminate the risk of documentation fraud. The HSBC-ING pilot of blockchain-based trade finance in 2018, which involved a soybean shipment from Argentina to Malaysia, demonstrated that blockchain smart contracts could reduce transaction times from five to ten days to under 24 hours (HSBC, 2018).

In supply chain quality management, smart contracts can automatically trigger remediation actions when quality parameters fall outside acceptable ranges. For example, a smart contract governing the transportation of temperature-sensitive pharmaceuticals might automatically alert the shipper and recipient if temperature sensors record an excursion above the specified range, automatically flag the affected shipment for quality review, and automatically adjust payment terms if the excursion is determined to have compromised product quality. This level of automated, real-time contract enforcement would be practically impossible to achieve with traditional contractual mechanisms (Christidis & Devetsikiotis, 2016).

6.2 Tokenization of Supply Chain Assets

Tokenization — the representation of real-world assets as digital tokens on the blockchain — opens new possibilities for supply chain finance and asset management. By creating digital representations of physical assets such as inventory, receivables, or commodity stocks, tokenization enables these assets to be traded, financed, and managed with the efficiency and transparency of digital assets.

Supply chain finance is one of the most promising applications of tokenization. Small suppliers often face working capital constraints because they must wait 30, 60, or 90 days for payment from large buyers, while their own suppliers require prompt payment. Tokenization enables these payment obligations to be represented as digital assets that can be traded on blockchain-based marketplaces, allowing suppliers to access liquidity immediately by selling their receivables to investors at a small discount. This democratization of supply chain finance can significantly reduce the financial vulnerability of small suppliers and strengthen their ability to invest in quality, sustainability, and resilience (Chen, 2018).

7. Human and Organizational Dimensions of Blockchain Adoption

7.1 Change Management and Stakeholder Alignment

The technical sophistication of blockchain solutions can obscure the fundamentally human nature of supply chain transformation. Every blockchain implementation involves people — people who must

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.

change how they work, share information they previously kept private, trust systems they do not fully understand, and sometimes accept threats to their established roles and income. Managing these human dimensions of blockchain adoption is at least as important as managing the technical dimensions, and arguably more so.

Successful blockchain supply chain implementations typically involve extensive stakeholder engagement processes that begin long before technical implementation. This includes mapping the interests and concerns of all potential participants, identifying and addressing competitive sensitivities, designing governance structures that give all participants meaningful voice and protection, and developing training and support programs that help people at all levels of the organization adapt to new ways of working. Organizations that have treated blockchain adoption primarily as a technology project, without adequate attention to these human and organizational dimensions, have consistently struggled (Wamba et al., 2020).

7.2 Building a Data-Sharing Culture

Blockchain's value in supply chains depends fundamentally on the willingness of participants to share data — and data sharing runs counter to the deeply ingrained competitive instincts of most commercial organizations. Building a culture of selective, purposeful data sharing among supply chain partners requires a fundamental shift in how organizations think about information as a competitive asset.

Research by Lumineau et al. (2021) suggests that the transition to blockchain-enabled data sharing is most successful when it is anchored in clear articulations of mutual benefit. When all participants can see specifically how the data they share will be used, who will have access to it, and what concrete benefits they will receive in return — in terms of reduced risk, improved efficiency, or enhanced market access — they are significantly more willing to make the cultural shift required. Blockchain governance frameworks that include explicit data ownership rights and access control mechanisms are essential foundations for this cultural transition.

7.3 Capacity Building in Developing Country Supply Chains

Many of the most important supply chains in which blockchain traceability could create the greatest value — including those for coffee, cocoa, seafood, and garments — originate in developing countries where digital infrastructure, technical literacy, and institutional capacity may be limited. The vision of coffee farmers in Ethiopia or garment workers in Bangladesh entering real-time transaction data into blockchain systems may be aspirational in contexts where reliable internet connectivity is not guaranteed and smartphone penetration is limited.

Addressing these capacity constraints requires investment in digital infrastructure, mobile-first user interface design that works with basic smartphones and intermittent connectivity, and extensive training and support programs. It also requires attention to the power dynamics of blockchain adoption: systems designed primarily to serve the verification needs of Western consumers and regulators, rather than the needs of producers in the Global South, risk replicating existing inequalities in new technological forms. Blockchain implementations that create genuine value for producers — including better access to market information, financial services, and premium markets — are more sustainable and more equitable than those that treat producers merely as data sources (Gurtu & Johny, 2019).

8. Convergence with Emerging Technologies

8.1 Blockchain and the Internet of Things

The combination of blockchain and the Internet of Things (IoT) represents one of the most powerful technological convergences in supply chain management. IoT devices — including RFID tags, GPS trackers, temperature sensors, and humidity monitors — can capture real-time physical data from supply chain environments, while blockchain provides a secure, transparent, and immutable platform for recording and sharing that data among supply chain participants.

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.

This combination directly addresses the "oracle problem" discussed earlier. While blockchain alone cannot verify physical events, the integration of tamper-resistant IoT sensors with blockchain recording significantly reduces the opportunities for fraud and data manipulation. A temperature sensor embedded in a pharmaceutical cold chain shipment that records directly to the blockchain — without passing through any system that could be manipulated by a human actor — provides a much more reliable record of temperature conditions than a manual log entry, however accurately and honestly completed (Queiroz et al., 2020).

Real-world implementations of IoT-blockchain integration in supply chains are already demonstrating significant benefits. DHL and Accenture collaborated on a blockchain-based serialization prototype for pharmaceutical supply chains that combined IoT scanning at key handoff points with blockchain recording, demonstrating a 96% reduction in scanning errors compared to existing paper-based systems. Cold chain management applications combining IoT temperature monitoring with blockchain recording are being deployed by pharmaceutical distributors, food companies, and logistics providers to provide verified chain-of-custody documentation for temperature-sensitive products.

8.2 Blockchain and Artificial Intelligence

The convergence of blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) in supply chains creates possibilities that neither technology could achieve independently. While blockchain provides a secure, transparent foundation of verified supply chain data, AI can extract insights from that data that would be beyond the analytical capacity of human analysts — identifying patterns, predicting risks, and optimizing decisions in ways that make the supply chain not just transparent but intelligently adaptive.

AI-powered analytics applied to blockchain supply chain data can identify suspicious patterns that might indicate fraud, counterfeiting, or quality issues — for example, detecting anomalies in the timing or location of supply chain events that diverge from established patterns in ways that warrant investigation. Combined with blockchain's immutable audit trail, AI analytics can provide supply chain risk managers with a powerful early warning system that identifies potential problems before they escalate into crises (Dolgui et al., 2020).

The combination of blockchain's verified data and AI's analytical power also creates new possibilities for dynamic supply chain optimization. Smart contracts that automatically adjust sourcing decisions, payment terms, or routing based on AI analysis of real-time supply chain conditions — verified through blockchain records — represent a vision of the "autonomous supply chain" that is beginning to move from science fiction to practical reality in leading-edge organizations.

8.3 Digital Twins and Blockchain

Digital twins — virtual representations of physical supply chain assets and processes that are continuously updated with real-time data — represent another powerful convergence with blockchain technology. When a digital twin's data is recorded on the blockchain, the result is a persistent, immutable record of an asset's entire operational history — from its creation through every maintenance event, location change, and operational parameter variation throughout its lifecycle.

For high-value or safety-critical assets such as aircraft components, pharmaceutical manufacturing equipment, and critical infrastructure, blockchain-anchored digital twins can provide unprecedented transparency into asset history and condition. This has important implications for regulatory compliance, maintenance optimization, and the management of end-of-life asset disposal — particularly in industries where falsification of maintenance records has historically been a safety risk (Chen, 2018).

9. Conclusion

The story of blockchain in supply chain management is ultimately a story about trust — its absence, its importance, and the possibilities and limitations of technology as a mechanism for creating it. Global supply chains have grown so complex, so geographically distributed, and so dependent on information that they have outgrown the trust mechanisms that served previous eras of commerce. Blockchain represents a genuinely promising response to this challenge, offering technical properties — immutability, transparency, decentralization — that align closely with the requirements of supply chain trust-building.

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.

This paper has traced the theoretical foundations, practical mechanisms, and real-world implementation experience of blockchain in supply chains, revealing a technology of considerable promise that is also facing considerable challenges. The cases of Walmart's Food Trust, the MediLedger Network, and the Everledger platform demonstrate that blockchain can deliver tangible, meaningful improvements in supply chain transparency, traceability, and trust. The cases of TradeLens and numerous less prominent abandoned projects demonstrate that technical capability is not sufficient — governance, business model design, stakeholder alignment, and cultural transformation are equally essential ingredients for success.

Several conclusions emerge clearly from this analysis. First, blockchain is not a universal solution for supply chain trust challenges. It is most valuable in contexts where multiple independent parties need to share information they do not fully trust, where the consequences of fraud or error are severe, and where the volume of transactions justifies the overhead of a distributed ledger. For supply chains that do not meet these criteria, simpler, cheaper, and more familiar technologies may be more appropriate.

Second, successful blockchain adoption requires as much attention to organizational and governance design as to technical architecture. The most important questions in a blockchain supply chain initiative are not "which platform?" or "which consensus mechanism?" but rather "who controls the network?", "who owns the data?", "how are costs and benefits shared?", and "what incentives do participants have to contribute accurate data?" These are fundamentally human and institutional questions that cannot be resolved by technical innovation.

Third, blockchain's greatest potential in supply chains may be realized not through standalone deployments but through its convergence with other emerging technologies — IoT for real-world data capture, AI for intelligent analytics, and digital twins for comprehensive asset lifecycle management. These convergences are still early in their development, but they point toward a future supply chain management paradigm that is more transparent, more intelligent, and more trustworthy than anything currently in existence.

Finally, the human dimension of blockchain adoption deserves sustained attention. The transformative potential of blockchain in supply chains will only be realized if the people who work in those supply chains — from technology executives in corporate headquarters to smallholder farmers in developing countries — are engaged as genuine partners in the transformation process, not merely as subjects of it. Building supply chains that are both technologically sophisticated and genuinely human-centered is the defining challenge of blockchain adoption in the years ahead.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support and guidance of the Department of Management Studies, Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology. Special thanks are extended to the anonymous reviewers for their detailed and constructive comments that substantially enriched this manuscript. The authors also thank colleagues at Amity University for stimulating discussions on blockchain governance and technology adoption.

References:

Bain & Company. (2019). The age of digital trust: How luxury brands are using blockchain. Bain & Company Insights. https://www.bain.com/insights/luxury-blockchain/

BCg (Boston Consulting Group). (2020). Blockchain: From hype to reality in supply chains. BCG. https://www.bcg.com/publications/2020/blockchain-supply-chain-reality

Chen, S. (2018). Blockchain tokenization and supply chain finance. Journal of Supply Chain Finance, *7(2), 45–63.*

Christidis, K., & Devetsikiotis, M. (2016). Blockchains and smart contracts for the Internet of Things. IEEE Access, *4, 2292–2303.* https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2566339

Dolgui, A., Ivanov, D., & Rozhkov, M. (2020). Does the ripple effect influence the bullwhip effect? An integrated analysis of structural and operational dynamics in the supply chain. International Journal of Production Research, *58(5), 1285–1301.* https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1627438

Gurtu, A., & Johny, J. (2019). Potential of blockchain technology in supply chain management: A literature review. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 49(9), 881–900. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-11-2018-0371

HSBC. (2018). First trade finance transaction using blockchain. HSBC Press Release. https://www.hsbc.com/news-and-views/news/hsbc-news/2018/hsbc-becomes-first-bank-to-complete-trade-finance-using-blockchain

Hyperledger Foundation. (2018). Hyperledger architecture, volume 1: Introduction to Hyperledger business blockchain design philosophy and consensus. Linux Foundation. https://www.hyperledger.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Hyperledger_Arch_WG_Paper_1_Consensus.pdf

IBM. (2020). IBM Food Trust: Transforming the global food system. IBM Institute for Business Value. https://www.ibm.com/blockchain/solutions/food-trust

Kamath, R. (2018). Food traceability on blockchain: Walmart's pork and mango pilots with IBM. The Journal of the British Blockchain Association, 1(1), 3712. https://doi.org/10.31585/jbba-1-1-(10)2018

Kshetri, N. (2018). 1 Blockchain's roles in meeting key supply chain management objectives. International Journal of Information Management, 39, 80–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2017.12.005

Lumineau, F., Wang, W., & Schilke, O. (2021). Blockchain governance — A new way of organizing collaborations? Organization Science, 32(2), 500–521. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2020.1379

Maersk. (2022). Maersk and IBM to discontinue TradeLens. Maersk Press Release. https://www.maersk.com/news/articles/2022/11/29/maersk-and-ibm-to-discontinue-tradelens

MediLedger Project. (2020). MediLedger 2020 annual report. MediLedger Network. https://www.mediledger.com/annual-report-2020

Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf

PWC. (2016). Food fraud vulnerability assessment and mitigation. PricewaterhouseCoopers. https://www.pwc.co.uk/food-integrity/assets/food-fraud-vulnerability-assessment-and-mitigation.pdf

Queiroz, M. M., Telles, R., & Bonilla, S. H. (2020). Blockchain and supply chain management integration: A systematic review of the literature. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 25(2), 241–254. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-03-2018-0143

Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. International Journal of Production Research, 57(7), 2117–2135. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1533261

Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). Blockchain revolution: How the technology behind bitcoin is changing money, business, and the world. Portfolio/Penguin.

Wamba, S. F., Queiroz, M. M., & Trinchera, L. (2020). Dynamics between blockchain adoption determinants and supply chain performance: An empirical investigation. International Journal of Production Economics, 229, 107791. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107791

**Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.**

Volume 14, Issue 2 Winter / Summer 2023 ISSN: 0975-7643 (Print)

World Health Organization. (2017). WHO global surveillance and monitoring system for substandard and falsified medical products. WHO Press. https://www.who.int/medicines/regulation/ssffc/publications/gsms-report-sf/en/

Publisher: Noida Institute of Engineering & Technology,
19, Knowledge Park-II, Institutional Area, Greater Noida (UP), India.